



## MEETING NOTES

|                         |  |                     |               |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------|---------------|
| <b>Project Name:</b>    | <u>LSJ/DS RFMP</u>                                 | <b>Date:</b>        | <u>7/2/14</u> |
| <b>Meeting Subject:</b> | <u>Small Group Meeting Benefit Area 5 (RD 544)</u> | <b>Project No.:</b> | <u></u>       |
| <b>Location:</b>        | <u>KSN Stockton Conference Room</u>                | <b>Page:</b>        | <u>1</u>      |
| <b>Notes by:</b>        | <u>Charles Hilliard</u>                            |                     |               |

|                   |                      |                             |                         |                       |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Attendees:</b> | <u>Steve Sinnock</u> | <u>Jim Giottonini/phone</u> | <u>Gemma Biscocho</u>   | <u>John Maguire</u>   |
|                   | <u>Doug Weinrich</u> | <u>Ashlee Casey</u>         | <u>Charles Hilliard</u> | <u>Loren Bottorff</u> |

### Purpose:

The purpose the meeting is to arrive at a list of the most important projects for use in the draft Financial Plan and draft RFMP. The second round of small group meetings build on the first round meetings by focusing on potential projects, timelines, and funding assumptions. Attendees were provided a "snapshot" of material currently in the draft RFMP for RD 544 and asked to provide comments by for use in refinements to the Draft RFMP.

**RD 544 Discussion:** Introductions were made by attendees, followed by a discussion of project costs, budgets, and project prioritization. This discussion was led by Steve Sinnock of KSN Inc., district engineer. Explained that potential projects are being placed into three tiers to show when each project is likely to be implemented. Tier 1 would be for projects with likely implementation in the next 5 years. Tier 2 would be projects with implementation in the 6 to 12 year period. Tier 3 projects would be implemented beyond 12 years. RFMP is a 25 year plan.

- The dryland levee on the north side of RD 544 does not really protect 544. Flood waters would travel north, so the levee between RD 544 and RD 524 is protecting RD 524 and the other RDs in the North-Western direction.
- All HMP deficiencies should be repaired by summer 2015.
- Improvements to PL84-99 standards will be a tier 1 priority.
- Erosion protection costs may be low.
- Site specific seepage repairs are a tier 1 priority, and a complete repairs would be a tier 2 priority.
- Encroachment project cost estimate is low. Irrigation structures and home are an issue. \$5M may be a better estimate.
- All weather roads will be complete by summer 2015.

### Summary of project prioritizations:

- Tier 1
  - Address PL84-99 Deficiencies
  - Erosion Protection
  - Seepage Repairs (site specific)
- Tier 2
  - Slope stability repairs
  - Identifying setback levee areas
- Tier 3
  - All weather road
  - Dryland levee improvements
  - Seepage repairs (complete)
- To be Removed from projects list:
  - Address HMP deficiencies since are in progress
  - Install all weather roads since are in progress

Asked about what RDs thought about potential consolidation of RDs. Don't see a reason. Set up originally as separate benefit areas and it works.

---end---